FCh cheatsheet

A handy guide containing the most important formulae of physical chemistry (thermodynamics) that a chemical engineer will need in practical life. The derivation steps are important to give you a sense of purpose, but for brevity they are only outlined in *very* brief points. Definitions are marked with \equiv Statements valid only for ideal gas (resp. ideal mixture) are marked * Czech names are in violet By Jiří Zbytovský in 2023

Contents

Equations of state	1
Core Thermodynamics	2
Adiabatic compression work	3
Gibbs phase rule	4
Clapeyron equation	4
Mixing	5
Reaction thermodynamics	6
Vapor-liquid equilibrium	7

Equations of state

(EOS, stavové rovnice)

For liquids, there is usually only thermal expansion coeff (koef izobarické roztažnosti) α , and isothermal compressibility (stlačitelnost) κ :

$$\alpha \equiv \frac{1}{V_m} \left(\frac{\partial V_m}{\partial T} \right)_p \tag{1}$$

$$\kappa \equiv -\frac{1}{V_m} \left(\frac{\partial V_m}{\partial p}\right)_T \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{\alpha}{-\kappa} = \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial T}\right)_{V_m} \tag{3}$$

For gases, generally pV = znRT, where z is the compressibility factor; for *z = 1. Common non-ideal EOS are: virial 1st order (4), van der Waals (5), Redlich-Kwong (6):

$$p = \frac{RT}{V_m} \left(1 + \frac{Bp}{RT} \right) \tag{4}$$

$$p = \frac{RT}{V_m - b} - \frac{a}{V_m^2} \tag{5}$$

$$p = \frac{RT}{V_m - b} - \frac{a}{\sqrt{T}V_m(V_m - b)}$$
(6)

By the way, the Boyle temp can be calculated from critical: $T_B \doteq 3.3 T_c$ A real gas is closest to ideal at T_B , meaning $z \doteq 1$

Core Thermodynamics

Volume work (basic physics):

$$\mathrm{d}W = -p\mathrm{d}V\tag{7}$$

First TD law defines internal energy U (vnitřní energie):

$$\mathrm{d}U = \mathrm{d}Q + \mathrm{d}W \tag{8}$$

Isobaric process [p] leads us to define enthalpy H (but H is valid under any conditions):

$$\mathrm{d}U = \mathrm{d}Q - p\mathrm{d}V\tag{9}$$

$$dQ = dU + pdV = d(U + pV) = dH$$
(10)

$$H \equiv U + pV \tag{11}$$

Heat capacity: at isochoric conditions we may substitute from 8, at isobaric from 10. Heat capacity is usually used in intensive form $C_m \equiv C/n$.

$$C_V \equiv \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial T}\right)_V = \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial T}\right)_V \tag{12}$$

$$C_p \equiv \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial T}\right)_p = \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial T}\right)_p \tag{13}$$

Mayer's * formula can be proven: $\frac{\partial H}{\partial T} - \frac{\partial U}{\partial T} = \frac{\partial (U+pV)}{\partial T} - \frac{\partial U}{\partial T} = \frac{\partial (pV)}{\partial T} = nR$ * $C_{pm} - C_{Vm} = R$

Second TD law (equal for a reversible process, greater for irreversible)

$$\mathrm{d}S \ge \frac{\mathrm{d}Q}{T} \tag{15}$$

(14)

TD1 + TD2 (just substitute eqs 15, 11 into 8) gets us the total differentials of U, H:

$$\mathrm{d}U = T\mathrm{d}S - p\mathrm{d}V \tag{16}$$

$$dH = TdS + Vdp \tag{17}$$

Gibbs energy

When we consider other W than volume W (total reversible work $dW_{rev} = -pdV + dW_{other}$), then eq 16 becomes:

$$dU = TdS - pdV + dW_{other} = dQ_{rev} + dW_{rev}$$
(18)

And at [T] it leads us to define the Helmholtz free energy F:

$$d(U - TS) = dW_{rev} \tag{19}$$

$$F \equiv U - TS \tag{20}$$

At $[T] dF = dW_{rev}$ while at $[T, V] dF = dW_{other}$

At [T]:

$$\mathrm{d}F = -p\mathrm{d}V + \mathrm{d}W_{other} \tag{21}$$

And at [p] it leads us to define the Gibbs free energy G:

$$d(F + pV) = dW_{other} \tag{22}$$

$$G \equiv F + pV = H - TS \tag{23}$$

By combining eqs 20+16, resp. 23+17, we get the total differentials of F, G:

$$\mathrm{d}F = -S\mathrm{d}T - p\mathrm{d}V\tag{24}$$

$$\mathrm{d}G = -S\mathrm{d}T + V\mathrm{d}p\tag{25}$$

Entropy differential

By applying the *Schwarz's theorem*, we can quantify various state function derivations:

$$\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial T \partial V} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial V \partial T} \qquad \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial V}\right)_T = \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial T}\right)_V \tag{26}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial T \partial p} = \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial p \partial T} \qquad \qquad \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial p}\right)_T = -\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial T}\right)_p \tag{27}$$

Combining TD2 with C_p or C_V (eqs 15 + 12, 13), we get:

$$\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial T}\right)_V = \frac{C_V}{T} \tag{28}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial T}\right)_p = \frac{C_p}{T} \tag{29}$$

Combining 28+26, resp. 29+27, we get two forms of S total differential:

$$dS = \frac{C_V}{T} dT + \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial T}\right)_V dV$$
(30)

$$dS = \frac{C_p}{T} dT - \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial T}\right)_p dp$$
(31)

Adiabatic compression work

When all these are fulfilled: adiabatic, *, $C_V = const$, only volume work and no other work, then we apply TD1, dQ = 0, C_V and Mayer (eqs 8+12+14):

$$\mathrm{d}U = \mathrm{d}W \tag{32}$$

$$nC_V \mathrm{d}T = -p\mathrm{d}V \tag{33}$$

$$\frac{C_V}{T}\mathrm{d}T = -\frac{R}{V}\mathrm{d}V\tag{34}$$

Definite integral from V_1, T_1 to V_2, T_2 , let $\kappa \equiv \frac{C_{pm}}{C_{Vm}} - 1$:

$$\ln \frac{T_2}{T_1} = \left(\frac{C_{pm}}{C_{Vm}} - 1\right) \ln \frac{V_2}{V_1} = \kappa \ln \frac{V_2}{V_1}$$
(35)

Alternatively, we may use *indefinite* integral on eq 34, to get:

$$TV^{(\kappa-1)} = const \qquad \qquad pV^{\kappa} = const \qquad (36)$$

Reversible work: a common problem is to integrate dW = -pdV from p_1, V_1 to p_2, V_2 . Using eq 36 we can express $p = p_1 \left(\frac{V_1}{V}\right)^{\kappa}$, and let $K \equiv p_1 V_1^{\kappa} = p_2 V_2^{\kappa}$:

$$W \ge \int_{V_1}^{V_2} p_1 V_1^{\kappa} \frac{\mathrm{d}V}{V^{\kappa}} = K \left[\frac{1}{1-\kappa} V^{1-\kappa} \right]_{V_1}^{V_2} = \frac{1}{1-\kappa} \left(\frac{K}{V_2^{\kappa-1}} - \frac{K}{V_1^{\kappa-1}} \right)$$
$$W \ge \frac{1}{1-\kappa} (p_2 V_2 - p_1 V_1) \tag{37}$$

Gibbs phase rule

Let there be f phases (for example 1, 2, 3...) and k components (A, B, C...)The variables needed to fully describe the system are T, p, and molar frace x:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} x_{1A} & x_{1B} & x_{1C} \\ x_{2A} & x_{2B} & x_{2C} \\ x_{3A} & x_{3B} & x_{3C} \end{array}$$
(38)

The number of molar fraces = f(k-1); the k-1 is because $\sum_{i=1}^{f} x_i = 1$ for each phase. That means total variables = f(k-1) + 2

Note: T, p is common for system, not per phase; thermal & mechanical equilibrium is assumed.

These equations are left to describe the *phase equilibrium*:

$$\mu_1^A = \mu_1^B = \mu_1^C \tag{39}$$

$$\mu_2^A = \mu_2^B = \mu_2^C \tag{40}$$

$$\mu_3^A = \mu_3^B = \mu_3^C \tag{41}$$

That's k(f-1) equations (see eq 52 for μ definition).

When we add c arbitrary bounds (equations), the degrees of freedom (stupně volnosti) are:

ŀ

$$v = f(k-1) + 2 - k(f-1) - c = k - f + 2 - c$$
(42)

Clapeyron equation

Describes the relation p(T) of a single-component phase transition from phase $1 \rightarrow 2$. Equilibrium is when $dG_m^1 = dG_m^2$, and we substitute G differential from eq 25:

$$-S_{m1}dT + V_{m1}dp = -S_{m2}dT + V_{m2}dp$$
(43)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}p}{\mathrm{d}T} = \frac{S_{m2} - S_{m1}}{V_{m2} - V_{m1}} = \frac{\Delta S_m}{\Delta V_m} = \frac{\Delta H_m}{T\Delta V_m} \tag{44}$$

The last equality comes from applying TD2 and TD1 with H (eqs 15+10), because a *single-component* phase transition is at [T, p]

When phase 2 is * gas, then $V_{m2} \gg V_{m1}$, and so $\Delta V_m \doteq V_{m2} \doteq \frac{RT}{p}$

p then means vapor pressure p° (tlak sytých par). This is the Clausius-Clapeyron equation:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\ln p^{\circ}}{\mathrm{d}T} = \frac{\Delta H_m}{RT^2} \tag{45}$$

We could assume $\Delta H_m = const$ and use *indefinite* integral to get $p^{\circ}(T)$, but it's very inaccurate, instead the empirical Antoine equation is used universally:

$$\ln p^{\circ} = A - \frac{B}{T+C} \tag{46}$$

Definite integral, however, may be used as a good estimate for small intervals:

$$\ln \frac{p_2^{\circ}}{p_1^{\circ}} = \frac{-\Delta H_m}{R} \left(\frac{1}{T_2} - \frac{1}{T_1} \right)$$
(47)

Mixing

For ideal mixtures Dalton $p = \sum p_i$, Amagat $V = \sum V_i$,

also $\Delta H^{\text{mix}} = 0$, **but** $\Delta S^{\text{mix}} \neq 0$, therefore $\Delta G^{\text{mix}} \neq 0$ either. Why is that? Imagine two ideal gases A, B, both at p, each in a compartment of V_A, V_B and let $V \equiv V_A + V_B$. Connecting the compartments means A expands $V_A, p \to V, p_A$, where p_A is partial pressure of A in whole V. Analogically B expands $V_B, p \to V, p_B$, and the process happens at [T]. Using eqs either 30+26 or 31+27 (let's go with the latter), we get:

$$\Delta S^{\text{mix}} = \Delta S_A + \Delta S_B = \int_p^{p_A} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial p}\right)_T dp + \int_p^{p_B} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial p}\right)_T dp = -n_A R \ln \frac{p_A}{p} - n_B R \ln \frac{p_B}{p} \quad (48)$$

$$\Delta S_m^{\text{mix}} = -x_A R \ln x_A - x_B R \ln x_B \dots = -R \sum_i x_i \ln x_i \tag{49}$$

Let $G_{m,i}$ be G_m for pure component *i*, then:

$$\Delta G^{\min} = \Delta H^{\min} - T\Delta S^{\min} = RT \sum_{i} x_i \ln x_i$$
(50)

$$G_m^{\text{mix}} = x_1 \dot{G}_{m1} + x_2 \dot{G}_{m2} \dots + \Delta G^{\text{mix}} = \sum_i x_i \dot{G}_{m,i} + RT \sum_i x_i \ln x_i$$
(51)

Now let's d/dn_i and add excess G^E which quantifies the **non-ideal** mixture deviation, and let's define chemical potential μ_i of component *i* in a mixture, and μ_i° for pure std *i*:

$$\mu_i \equiv \bar{G}_{m,i} \equiv \frac{\partial G}{\partial n_i} \tag{52}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial n_i}\right)_{T,p} = \dot{G}_{m,i} + RT \ln x_i + G^{\rm E}$$
(53)

$$\mu_i = \mu_i^\circ + RT \ln a_i \tag{54}$$

We have thus defined **activity** a_i as such number, that it includes the non-ideality from G^{E} . By the way, $\overline{G}_{m,i}$ is called the partial G_m for i in a mixture.

Non-ideal mixing behavior can be expressed *either* with partial, *or* excess quantities. Following applies for *any* partial quantity: $V_m^{\text{mix}} = \sum_i x_i \bar{V}_i$ (especially useful for V).

Note that there can be an ideal mixture of non-ideally behaving components, as well as a non-ideal mixture of ideally behaving components...

Reaction thermodynamics

 $\Delta_{\rm R} H^{\circ}$ at std temp T° , where $\Delta_{\rm F} H_i^{\circ}$ is the std formation enthalpy of compound *i*:

$$\Delta_{\rm R} H^{\circ} = \sum_{i} \nu_i \Delta_{\rm F} H_i^{\circ} \tag{55}$$

Kirchhoff's law to get $\Delta_{\rm R} H$ at a given temp T:

$$\Delta_{\rm R} H = \Delta_{\rm R} H^{\circ} + \int_{T^{\circ}}^{T} \Delta C_p \mathrm{d}T$$
(56)

$$\Delta C_p = \sum_i \nu_i C_{pi} \tag{57}$$

Note: $\Delta C_p = \frac{\partial(\Delta H)}{\partial T}$ as per eq 13

Chemical equilibrium: we extend G differential (eq 25) with dependence on components n_i :

$$\partial G = -S \mathrm{d}T + V \mathrm{d}p + \sum_{i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial n_{i}} \mathrm{d}n_{i}$$
(58)

We assume thermal & mechanical equilibrium, so [T, p], and use μ_i definition from eq 52. Also, we use reaction extent (reakční rozsah) $\xi \equiv \frac{n_i - n_i^0}{\nu_i}$, so $dn_i = \nu_i d\xi$:

$$\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial \xi}\right)_{T,P} = \sum \nu_i \mu_i \equiv \Delta_{\rm R} G \tag{59}$$

Using a_i from eq 54 leads us to define $\Delta_{\rm R} G^{\circ} \equiv \sum_{i} \nu_i \mu_i^{\circ}$, and let's define the reaction quotient $Q \equiv \prod_i a_i^{\nu_i}$:

$$\Delta_{\rm R}G = \sum \nu_i \mu_i^{\circ} + \sum_i \nu_i RT \ln a_i \tag{60}$$

$$\Delta_{\rm R}G = \Delta_{\rm R}G^{\circ} + RT\ln\prod_{i}a_i^{\nu_i} = \Delta_{\rm R}G^{\circ} + RT\ln Q \tag{61}$$

Note that Q can be calculated anytime, not just in equilibrium, and can therefore have any value. In equilibrium $\Delta_{\rm R}G = 0$, and **only then** $K \equiv Q$, the equilibrium constant:

$$\Delta_{\rm R} G^{\circ} = -RT \ln K \tag{62}$$

Usually we approx. $a_i \doteq \frac{c_i}{c^\circ}$ or $a_i \doteq \frac{p_i}{p^\circ}$ (when std state = pure component), and we express $c_i(\xi), p_i(\xi)$, so that $K = K(\xi_{eq}) \rightarrow eq 62$ can be solved for ξ_{eq}

Temp. dependence: as per eq 23, $\Delta_{\rm R}G^{\circ} = \Delta_{\rm R}H - T\Delta_{\rm R}S$, therefore $\frac{\Delta_{\rm R}G^{\circ}}{T} = \frac{\Delta_{\rm R}H}{T} - \Delta_{\rm R}S$. At first glance, we could naively think that d/dT is very easily done in one step:

$$\frac{\partial \frac{\Delta_{\rm R} G^{\circ}}{T}}{\partial T} = \frac{-\Delta_{\rm R} H}{T^2} \tag{63}$$

This equation is indeed true, but doing the derivation is actually way more difficult... Though the naive approach serves as a great mnemotechnic!

Vapor-liquid equilibrium

Raoult+Dalton's law relates partial pressure p_i , molar. fracs in vapor phase y_i , in liquid x_i , and pure *i* vapor pressure (see Antoine eq 46). In this form valid only for * vapor phase (often reasonable assumption), but considers non-ideal liquid mixing, then $a_i = \gamma_i x_i$.

$$p_i = y_i p = \gamma_i x_i p_i^{\circ} \tag{64}$$

 γ_i is the activity coeff, for * liquid mixture $\gamma = 1$, otherwise quantified as function $\vec{\gamma} = \vec{\gamma}(T, \vec{x})$, for example using the <u>NRTL</u> model.

Boiling point is defined as such temperature, that these add up to system pressure p. Summing up eq 64 draws the **isothermal boiling point curve**, a function $p = p(\vec{x})$:

$$p = \sum_{i} \gamma_i x_i p_i^{\circ} \tag{65}$$

Dew point (rosný bod) curve is the same eq rewritten as function $p = p(\vec{y})$. Here shown for binary, but the same can be done for any number of components:

$$p = x_1 \gamma_1 p_1^{\circ} + (1 - x_1) \gamma_2 p_2^{\circ}$$
$$p = \frac{y_1 p}{\gamma_1 p_1^{\circ}} \gamma_1 p_1^{\circ} + \left(1 - \frac{y_1 p}{\gamma_1 p_1^{\circ}}\right) \gamma_2 p_2^{\circ}$$

Move all terms with p to left-hand side, then substitute $y_2 = 1 - y_1$ and divide by $(p\gamma_2 p_2^\circ)$:

$$p\left(1 - y_1 + y_1 \frac{\gamma_2 p_2^\circ}{\gamma_1 p_1^\circ}\right) = \gamma_2 p_2^\circ$$

$$\left(\frac{y_2}{\gamma_2 p_2^\circ} + \frac{y_1}{\gamma_1 p_1^\circ}\right) = \frac{1}{p}$$

$$\sum_i \frac{y_i}{\gamma_i p_i^\circ} = \frac{1}{p}$$
(66)

Eq 66 in reciprocal form draws the **isothermal dew point curve**.

The two isothermal curves (forming pxy diagram) have very limited practical application...

The isobaric boiling + dew point functions (Txy diagram) as $T = T(\vec{x}), T = T(\vec{y})$ are much more useful, but unfortunately cannot be expressed analytically. Set p as constant, substitute Antoine into p_i° to get these as *implicit* functions.

Another often used form of VLE is the **isobaric** xy **diagram** as a function $\vec{y} = \vec{y}(\vec{x})$. It combines boiling & dew curves into one, while information on boiling T is separate. It is again implicit for T, this time a set of two eqs: 1) 65 with p as const, 2) substitute 65 into 66.

Note: isobaric form of VLE is implicit because T cannot be isolated from Antoine eq. And when liquid mixture is non-ideal, T is also in the γ model.